

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,659	11/06/2001	Ying Chen	JP920000306US1	8505	
7:	590 06/25/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
IBM CORPO	RATION AL PROPERTY LAW D	DANIEL JR	DANIEL JR, WILLIE J		
P.O. BOX 218	ALL I ROLLINI I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
YORKTOWN	HEIGHTS, NY 10598	2686	4		
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		At	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			9/992,659		CHEN ET AL.		
			caminer		Art Unit		
	·		illie J. Daniel, Jr.		2686		
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	1		!		idress	
Period fo	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (7) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	. In no event, however in the statutory minimole and will expire See the application to be	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on					
2a)□	•		tion is non-final	1.			
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5) <u></u>	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	are withdrawn f					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the training (s) filed on <u>06 November</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to the specific of the	er 2001 is/are: ection to the draw g the correction	wing(s) be held i is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	documents had documents had of the priority onal Bureau (P	ave been recei ave been recei documents hav CT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Applicati ve been receive a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date	•	F (5) 1	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		O-152)	

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:
 - a. Fig. 1 ref. "S101", "S105", "S108", "S109".
 - b. Fig. 2 ref. "S202".
 - c. Fig. 3 ref. "S301-S307" and "S309-S312".

Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2686

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

a. The Applicant uses the acronyms "PvC" on pg. 4, line 11 and "LBT" on pg. 4, line 23 without providing an explanation.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Craport et al. (hereinafter Craport) (US 5,961,572).

Regarding Claim 1, Craport discloses of method for locating position for a handheld device (20) which reads on the claimed "mobile commutation device", where the hand-held device is referred to as a personal computer (see col. 11, lines 30-33; col. 12, lines 25-39; Fig. 1), comprising:

inputting latitude/longitude which reads on the claimed "geo-indicators" (Gi-i, Gi-2, ..., Gi-n) based on text (e.g., address, zip codes, or intersections) by a user with the mobile commutation device (20) (see col. 3, line 41 - col. 4, line 59; col. 15, lines 40-67; col. 14, line 66 - col. 15, line 15; Fig. 1 and 3A "ref. 302"), where the text such as the address is provided cond the in which the address is geocoded by a geocoder into a reference latitude/longitude point;

transmitting the geo-indicators to a remote computer system (49) which read on the claimed "back end server" (see col. 13, line 45 - col. 14, line 30; col. 15, line 40 - col. 16, line 24), where the geo-indicators (latitude/longitude) are transmitted to the geographic libraries that are connected to the remote server (49) (see Fig. 1);

generating an address match candidate which reads on the claimed "candidate feature set" for each geo-indicator by applying geocoding which maps the text address to a geo-location

Art Unit: 2686

based on a geographic point libraries (210) which read on the claimed "back end spatial database" (see col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 15, line 41 - col. 16, line 51; col. 18, line 57 - col. 19, line 27; Figs. 3A-3C), where the address match candidates (address, modified address, intersection, landmark, or zip code) are used to help determine the location;

deciding the final geo-location information by geoclustering the candidate feature set (see col. 16, lines 40-51; col. 17, lines 9-28; col. 18, line 57 - col. 19, line 27; Figs. 3A-3C), where the location is compared to the address match candidate to determine the location; and transmitting the geo-location information to the mobile communication device (20) (see col. 11, lines 30-33; col. 16, lines 40-51; Figs. 3A-C), where the address match candidate is transmitted back to the GUI of the handheld device with the address location which would be inherent for the user to get the information relative to the current location.

Regarding Claim 2, Craport discloses a method for locating position for a mobile communication device according to claim 1, wherein the geo-indicators (Gi-1, Gi-2, . . . , Gi-n) are based on text inputted by the user with the mobile commutation device (20), Gi-j is an item selected from a group of items including: a street name, a building name, a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these (see col. 3, line 41 - col. 4, line 59; col. 15, lines 40-67; col. 14, line 66 - col. 15, line 15; col. 18, line 57 - col. 19, line 27; Fig. 1, 3A "ref. 302", and 4-11), where the text such as the address is provided in which the address is geocoded by a geocoder into a reference latitude/longitude point for comparing the location to the address match candidate.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding Claim 4, Craport discloses a method for locating position for a mobile communication device according to claim 1, wherein said candidate feature set is a set of points determined from an item in a group of items including: a building name, a set of lines determined by a road name, a polygon determined by a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these (see col. 15, line 41 - col. 16, line 51; col. 18, line 57 - col. 19, line 27), where the address match candidate are candidates such address, modified address, landmark, intersection, or zip code).

Regarding Claim 5, Craport discloses a method for locating position for a mobile communication device (20) according to claim 1, wherein said candidate feature set is labeled with a confidence level (see col. 16, line 40 - col. 17, line 28; col. 17, lines 29-62; Figs. 3A-C), where the address match candidate is compared with potential geographic locations in which the confidence level would be inherent.

Regarding Claim 6, Craport discloses a method for locating position for a mobile communication device (20) according to claim 5, wherein the geometry relationship and confidence level is taken into account when geoclustering said candidate feature set (see col. 16, line 40 - col. 17, line 28; col. 17, line 29 - col. 18, line 6; col. 20, line 55 - col. 21, line 35; col. 27, line 46 - col. 28, line 40; Figs. 3A-C; 10-12), where the address match candidate (e.g., intersection, region, or area) is compared with potential geographic locations in which the confidence level would be inherent to determine location.

Regarding Claim 7, Craport discloses method for locating position for a mobile communication device according to claim 1, further comprising a step of feeding back a choice made by the user and/or adding an additional geo-indicator inputted by the user, in

Art Unit: 2686

order to locate said position precisely (see col. 3, lines 40-49; col. 3, line 61 - col. 4, line 40; col. 11, lines 30-33; Figs. 3A-C), where the system provides an address match candidate that is transmitted back to the GUI of the handheld device with the address location of the user.

Regarding Claim 8, Craport discloses a system for locating position for a mobile commutation device (20), comprising:

a mobile communication device (20), for inputting geo-indicators (Gi-1, Gi-2, ..., Gi-n) based on text (see col. 3, line 41 - col. 4, line 59; col. 15, lines 40-67; col. 14, line 66 - col. 15, line 15; Fig. 1 and 3A "ref. 302"), where the text such as the address is provided in which the address is geocoded by a geocoder into a reference latitude/longitude point;

geocoder (208) which reads on the claimed "geo-location generating means", for generating a candidate feature set for each geo-indicator by applying geocoding which maps the text address to an geo-location based on a back end spatial database (210) (see col. 14, lines 23-35; col. 15, line 41 - col. 16, lines 51; col. 18, line 57 - col. 19, line 27; Figs. 3A-3C), where the address match candidates (address, modified address, intersection, landmark, or zip code) are used to help determine the location; and

application (36a) which reads on the claimed "clustering means", for deciding the final geo-location information by geoclustering the candidate feature set (see col. 15, line 41 - col. 16, lines 51; Figs. 2 and 3A-C), where the application compares the address match candidate.

Regarding Claim 9, Craport discloses a system for locating position for a mobile communication device according to claim 8, wherein said mobile communication device (20) is a hand-held device (20) which reads on the claimed "WAP phone or a PDA" (see col. 11, lines 31-40; col. 12, lines 20-31; Fig. 1), where the personal computer is a hand-held device.

Art Unit: 2686

Page 8

Regarding Claim 10, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 2.

Regarding Claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 4.

Regarding Claim 13, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 5.

Regarding Claim 14, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 6.

Regarding Claim 15, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 7.

Regarding Claim 16, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 1, in which the article of manufacture would be inherent.

Regarding Claim 17, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 1, in which the program storage device would be inherent.

Regarding Claim 18, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 8, in which the computer program product would be inherent.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,659 Page 9

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craport et al. (hereinafter Craport) (US 5,961,572) in view of Hancock et al. (hereinafter Hancock) (US 6,295,502).

Regarding Claim 3, Craport discloses a method for locating position for a mobile communication device (20) according to claim 1, wherein the geo-indicators (Gi-1, Gi-2, ..., Gi-n) are based on text inputted by the users with the mobile commutation device (20), Gi-j is selected from a group including a street name and/or a building name, a local code of a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these (see col. 14, lines 7-35; col. 15, line 66), where the address is converted by the geocoder in latitude/longitude for referencing to a geographic location. Craport fails to disclose having the geo-indicators selected from a group including an abbreviation of a street name and/or a building name, a local code of a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these. However, the examiner maintains that geo-indicators selected from a group including an abbreviation of a street name and/or a building name, a local code of a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these was well known in the art, as taught by Hancock.

In the same field of endeavor, Hancock discloses geo-indicators selected from a group including an abbreviation of a street name and/or a building name, a local code of a

Art Unit: 2686

Page 10

postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these (see col. 5, lines 53-60; col. 20, lines 21-39; Figs.12b-c and 13), where the system correlates latitude/longitude (geo-indicators) with the abbreviation of a city, address, restaurants, or buildings.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Craport and Hancock geo-indicators selected from a group including an abbreviation of a street name and/or a building name, a local code of a postal code, a telephone number, and any combination of these, in order to correlate the location of latitude/longitude with the abbreviated a street name or building name, as taught by Hancock.

Regarding Claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as set forth above in claim 3.

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. DeLorme et al. (US 5,848,373) discloses a "Computer Aided Map Location System".
 - b. Kepler (US 6,748,225 B1) discloses a "Method and System for the Determination of Location By Retail Signage and Other Readily Recognizable Landmarks".
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willie J. Daniel, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-8636. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WJD,JR/wjd,jr 17 June 2004

CHARLES APPIAH